UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,531	07/13/2006	Ray Davenport	205666-5040-00-US(455390)	4385
	7590 05/16/201 DDLE & REATH	1	EXAMINER	
	LECTUAL PROPERT	GREEN, ANTHONY J		
ONE LOGAN SQUARE, SUITE 2000 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996		J	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DBRIPDocket@dbr.com penelope.mongelluzzo@dbr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/552,531	DAVENPORT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony J. Green	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	•	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,4-7,9,10 and 12-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,4-7,9,10 and 12-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a pplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/552,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment submitted on 13 April 2011. After entry of the amendment claims 1, 4-7, 9-10, 12-20 are currently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 4, 10, and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification fails to support the addition of an anionic direct dye in amounts that are outside the range of from 0 to 10%. Note that page 2 recites that the amount of the anionic direct dye is from 0 to 10%. Accordingly the lack of a specific amount of anionic direct dye is not supported by the specification as originally filed.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,531 Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

5. Claims 4, 10 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claim 4 and 15 are confusing as written and therefore they are considered to be vague and indefinite as it is unclear as to the amount of water and anionic direct dye that may be added. Note that applicant has amended claim 4 and new claim 15 to recite "e) water to 100%, and an anionic direct dye". This phrase is confusing as it is unclear as to the amount of anionic direct dye that may be present and the amount of water to 100% that may be added since applicant first recites "water to 100%" and then recites that the composition also includes an anionic direct dye.

Accordingly the claims are vague and indefinite as they fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luebke et al (US Patent No. 5,800,870 A) in view of Nagler (US Patent No. 3,784,596 A) for the reasons set forth in the previous office action and which are herein incorporated by reference.

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant argues that the instant claims are not obvious over the combination of references as there is no teaching, suggestion, motivation or other reason to combine the references because a person of ordinary skill in the art could not have predicted that the combination would be operable.

Page 4

To this argument the examiner respectfully disagrees as it is believed that the motivation exists for one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize any type of pigment such as an organic pigment in the composition of the primary reference without producing any unexpected results as the primary reference states "others known in the art". The secondary reference teaches that inorganic or organic pigments may be useful in formulating compositions for coating papers and states, in column 6, lines 39+, that virtually any pigment or colorant, e.g. Quinacridone Red and Phthalocyanine Blue, or inorganic which can be prepared in finely divided form (having an average diameter of from about 0.2 to 5 microns) can be employed". Accordingly since the primary reference states that other pigments known in the art may be utilized to coat paper and the secondary teaches that virtually any pigment or colorant may be utilized to coat paper, one of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to utilize any pigment without producing any unexpected results absent evidence showing otherwise. The claims would have been obvious because "a person of ordinary skill has good reason to pursue the known options with his or her technical grasp. If this leads to the anticipated success, it is likely the product not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense." Further, a predictable use of prior art elements according to their established functions to achieve a predictable result is prima facie obvious. See KSR Int'l Inc. v.

Teleflex Inc., 127 S Ct. 1727, 1741,82 USPQ2d 1385, 1396 (2007). That is, the use of a pigment to color a composition would appear to produce a predictable result in the absence of evidence showing otherwise. Accordingly since the applicant has not provided any convincing evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have found it obvious to utilize other organic pigments for the pigments recited in the primary reference in light of the fact that the secondary reference suggests that both inorganic or organic pigments are useful to produce a coating composition for coating papers, the instant claims are obvious. Applicant needs to provide a proper showing that the use of the instantly claimed organic pigments produces unexpected results as compared to other known pigments in the coating compositions of the primary reference and until such time the rejection is proper and stands.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1731

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J.

Green whose telephone number is (571)272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry A. Lorengo can be

reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-

8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anthony J Green/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

ajg

May 9, 2011